A Novel Genetic Approach to Provide Differentiated Levels of Service Resilience in IP-MPLS/WDM Networks

Wojciech Molisz, DSc, PhD Jacek Rak, PhD

Gdansk University of Technology Department of Computer Communications Gdansk, Poland

MMM-ACNS'10, September 10, 2010 St. Petersburg, Russia



The Agenda

- Survivability Issues in Multilayer Networks
- The Need for Differentiated Protection
- Proposed Approach to Provide Differentiated Levels of Survivability in IP-MPLS/WDM Networks
- Modeling Results
- Concluding Remarks

Survivability Issues in Multilayer Networks

- IP-MPLS/WDM network survivability
- WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexing):
 - each link consists of a set of channels (i.e. wavelengths)
 - each channel is capable of transmitting the data independently at a speed of a few Gbps
 - data transmission is performed by means of connections





Any failure may lead to severe data and revenue loses

Survivability Issues in Multilayer Networks

- survivability: the capability to deliver services in the presence of failures
- utilization of backup paths to provide protection for working paths
- path, segment, link protection against a node/link failure



Survivability Issues in Multilayer Networks

- in a multilayer network each network layer may have its own recovery scheme
- it is often necessary to make these schemes cooperate with each other



The Need for Differentiated Protection

- provisioning the differentiated levels of survivability is necessary in order to respond to heterogeneous demands of end-users
- Client-imposed factors include:
 - the willingness to pay for service (low-priced best-effort services or high quality of service, e.g. for the real-time data transmission)
 - the availability requirements, which may be application-dependent (high tolerance of service unavailability: e.g. e-mail service, file transfer; 100% restorability requirement: military, medical applications)

Providing only one level of service recovery is highly uneconomical, since most of the service is overprovisioned

- we consider a survivable IP-MPLSover-OTN-WDM network protected against a single node failure
- demands for IP-MPLS flows are given



- M service classes are assumed, numbered from 0 to M-1.
- class 0 represents the demands for which all the service recovery actions must be performed as fast as possible (i.e. in WDM layer only).
- for other (lower priority) classes, the restoration time values may increase (i.e. recovery actions may also take place in the IP-MPLS layer)
- the respective optimization problem is NP-Complete

ILP Formulation of the Problem

objective

It is to find the paths transporting the required IP-MPLS flows from sources to destinations, protecting them against a single node failure with the scope of backup path protection comprising two consecutive links of working paths in both the IP-MPLS and the WDM layer, and minimizing the linear cost:

$$\boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{r=1}^{|\mathcal{I}_{r}|} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{I}_{r}|} \sum_{m=1}^{|\mathcal{I}_{r}|} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \ \boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}^{(m)} + \boldsymbol{\hat{\xi}}_{i} \boldsymbol{p}_{mi}^{(m)} + \boldsymbol{\hat{\xi}}_{i} \boldsymbol{p}_{mi}^{(m)} \right)$$
(6.1)

constraints:

a) on the number of allowed transmitters and receivers at each IP-MPLS layer node:

$$\sum_{\substack{\{r,r_i, \overline{n}, i, n\} \in V_{r_i}, \\ i=1,2,...,N_i \\ n=1,2,...,N_i \\ n=1,2,...,N_i } \overline{w_r} \leq IR_n$$
(6.2)

$$\sum_{\substack{q_i \neq r_i, q_i \neq l_i, q_i \neq l_{q_i} \\ q_i = 2, \dots, N_i \\ q_i = 2, \dots, N_i \\ q_i = 2, \dots, N_i \\ q_i = 1} \overline{q_i} \leq RV_i$$
(6.3)

where: v_r = (i, n, o) = virtual art incident into a node n; v_r = (n, j, o) = virtual art incident out of a node n; n = 1, 2,..., |N|

b) flow conservation constraints of the primary LSPs in the IP-MPLS layer:

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in [r_1], \overline{\alpha}, \sigma_1, \sigma_1 \in G^{r_1}, \sigma_1] = 1 \\ \sigma \in [2, \dots, N_{n-1}]}} \frac{\omega^{-}}{r_1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in [r_1], \overline{\alpha}, \sigma_1 \in G^{r_1}, \sigma_1] = 1 \\ \sigma \in [2, \dots, N_{n-1}]}} \omega^{-}_{\sigma = [2, \dots, N_{n-1}]} \omega^{-}_{\sigma = [2, \dots, N_{n-1}]} \omega^{-}_{\sigma = [2, \dots, N_{n-1}]} (6.4)$$

- where: v_r = (i, n, o) = o-th virtual art incident into node n; v_r = (n, j, o) is a virtual art incident out of node n; t = 1, 2, ..., |D_{IP}|; n = 1, 2, ..., |N|;
- c) flow conservation constraints of the backup LSPs protecting a given transit node n(G₂) in the IP-MPLS layer:

$$\sum_{\substack{r \in [r_1, r_2] \in \mathcal{A}, \ |r| \in\mathcal{A}, \ |r| \in \mathcal{A}, \ |r| \in\mathcal{A}, \ |r| \in \mathcal{A}, \ |r| \in\mathcal{A}, \ |r| \in\mathcal{$$

where: $v_r = (i, n, o) = o$ -th virtual art incident into a node $n; v_r = (n, j, o)$ is a virtual art incident out of a node n; e = 1, 2, ..., |S|: t = 1, 2, ..., |Dw|: n = 1, 2, ..., |N|: d) constraints to ensure that every backup LSP is node-disjoint with a given part of each working LSP it protects:

$$\sum_{\substack{r \in [r_{0}, r_{0}, r_{0}] \in [0, r_{0}, r_{0}] \in [0, r_{0}] \\ a = b_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=1, i=1}^{n} O_{i}(a_{i}) = a_{i}}} (a_{i}^{i} + u_{i}^{i-1}) \leq 1$$

$$\sum_{\substack{r \in [r_{0}, \pi_{0}, r_{0}] \in [0, r_{0}, r_{0}] \\ a = 1, \dots, n_{i}}} (a_{i}^{i} + u_{i}^{i-1}) \leq 1$$
(6.7)
(6.7)

where: $n = n(\mathcal{G}_{e}); t = 1, 2, ..., |D_{IP}|; e = 1, 2, ..., |\mathcal{G}|;$

e) constraint on the maximum amount of flow to be served by a single virtual IP are

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l_{m}} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}^{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{l_{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{l_{m}} \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{i}^{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}) \right) \leq \boldsymbol{w}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}$$
(6.8)

- $r=1,\,2,\ldots,\,|V_R|$
- f) flow conservation constraints in the physical topology for each working lightpath of a virtual art ν_r:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in [k_{1}, j] \in \{n_{1}, j\} \in \mathbf{k}, \\ j = \{2, \dots, N_{n}, \mathbf{n}\}}} \rho_{i}^{k_{1}j} - \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in [k_{1}, j] \in [n_{1}, j] \in \mathbf{k}, \\ \mathbf{n} \in \{2, \dots, N_{n}, \mathbf{n}\}}} \rho_{i}^{k_{1}j} - \begin{cases} \mathbf{sr}_{i} & \mathbf{ij}^{2} & \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{s}_{i} \\ -\mathbf{sr}_{i} & \mathbf{ij}^{2} & \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{s}_{i} \end{cases} \\ -\mathbf{sr}_{i} & \mathbf{sr}_{i}^{2} & \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{s}_{i} \end{cases}$$

$$(6.9)$$

- where: a_k = (i, n) = art incident into a node n; a_k = (n, j) = art incident out of a node n; r = 1, 2, ..., |V_R|; n = 1, 2, ..., |N|
- g) flow conservation constraints in the physical topology for each backup lightpath of a virtual art $\nu_{\!c}\!:$



where: a_k = (i, n) = arc incident into a node n; a_k = (n, j) = arc incident out of a node n; ε = 1, 2, ..., |S|; r = 1, 2, ..., |V_R|; n = 1, 2, ..., |N|

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{k \in \{i,j\} \in \{i,j\} \in \{i\}, \\ j=2,\dots,N_{i}(n)\}}} C_{i}^{k,j} - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{k \in \{i,j\} \in \{i,j\} \in \{i\}, \\ i=2,\dots,N_{i}(n)\}}} C_{i}^{k,j} - \begin{cases} u^{i} & i \neq 1, \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(6.11)

where: a_k = (i, n) = at incident into a node n; a_k = (n, j) = at incident out of a node n; r = 1, 2, ..., |V_z|; n = 1, 2, ..., |N|; ε = 1, 2, ..., |S|; t = 1, 2, ..., |D_{IP}|

 constraints to ensure that every backup lightpath is node-disjoint with its working lightpath;

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{k \in [n_{i}, n_{i}] \in [n_{i}, n_{i}] \in [n_{i}] \\ k \neq n_{i}}} (\rho_{i}^{h_{i}} + \rho_{i}^{h_{i}}) \le 1$$
(6.12)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{A} \sum_{\substack{k \in \{i,n\} \in \{i,n\} \in A, \\ i=1, \dots, N \neq n \\ k \in \{i,n\}, N \neq n \\ i \neq 1, \dots, N \neq n \\ i \neq 1 \end{cases}} (\rho_i^{A_i} + \varphi_{i,n}^{A_i}) \le 1$$
(6.13)

where: $r = 1, 2, ..., |V_R|$; $n = n(\mathcal{G}_e)$; $e = 1, 2, ..., |\mathcal{G}|$

j) constraints on finite capacity of physical arcs:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{A} \sum_{r=1}^{|r_r|} \boldsymbol{\rho}_r^{h,r} + \sum_{r=1}^{A} \sum_{r=1}^{|r_r|} \sum_{s=1}^{|n|} \left(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_r^{h,r} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r,s}^{h,r} \right) \leq \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$$
(6.14)

where: h = 1, 2, ..., |A|

(6.10)

k) constraints on the allowed relations between values of variables:

$ ho_r^{h,l} \leq Y_k$	(6.15)
$\rho_r^{h,l} \leq \overline{\omega}_r$	(6.16)
$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r,r}^{k,j} \leq \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_k$	(6.17)
$oldsymbol{arphi}_{r,s}^{h,l} \leq oldsymbol{arphi}_r$	(6.18)
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_r^{k,l} \leq \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_k$	(6.19)
$\zeta_r^{\lambda,i} \leq \varpi_r$	(6.20)

where: h = 1, 2, ..., |A|; l = 1, 2, ..., A; $r = 1, 2, ..., |V_R|$; $e = 1, 2, ..., |\mathcal{I}|$;

We divide the IP/WDM survivable routing problem into two subproblems:

- 1) survivable IP-MPLS routing
 - determining the IP virtual topology
 - finding the survivable routing of IP layer demands
- 2) survivable WDM routing
 - lightpath routing
 - wavelength assignment

IP-MPLS survivable routing treat the IP-MPLS survivable routing solutions as demands for WDM survivable routing WDM survivable routing

SUBPROBLEM 1 - IP-MPLS Survivable Routing

The number of active LSP links depends on the service class *m* and is determined as:

$$r_m = \left\lceil \frac{l_c - 1}{M - 1} \times m + 1 \right\rceil$$

where: I_c is the number of links of the end-to-end shortest path between the given pair of source *s* and destination *d* nodes in the WDM layer,

- *m* is the class of a demand,
- *M* is the number of service classes

SUBPROBLEM 1 - IP-MPLS Survivable Routing

$$r_m = \left\lceil \frac{l_c - 1}{M - 1} \times m + 1 \right\rceil$$

- any working LSP for the class
 m = 0 is realized by a direct IP MPLS link implying that no IP-MPLS
 recovery actions will take place
- for class m = M-1 each working
 LSP link will be mapped onto
 a single-link WDM lightpath,
 implying frequent recovery
 actions at the IP-MPLS layer.



SUBPROBLEM 2 - Survivable WDM Routing

- the IP demands are groupped into service classes on IP-MPLS links and mapped onto the protected lightpaths
- The scope of WDM protection depends on the service class number *m*
- For that purpose the number of backup lightpaths protecting the given working lightpath is determined as:

$$b_m = \left[-\frac{l_c - 1}{M - 1} \times m + l_c - 1 \right]$$

SUBPROBLEM 2 - Survivable WDM Routing

$$b_m = \left[-\frac{l_c - 1}{M - 1} \times m + l_c - 1 \right]$$

For the highest **class m** = **0**:

- each two adjacent WDM links of the working lightpath are protected by a backup lightpath providing fast service recovery
- No recovery actions are performed at the IP-MPLS layer



SUBPROBLEM 2 - Survivable WDM Routing

$$b_m = \left[-\frac{l_c - 1}{M - 1} \times m + l_c - 1 \right]$$

For the lowest **class** m = M-1:

- there is no backup lightpath
- all the recovery actions must be performed at the IP-MPLS layer



Genetic Approach

 finding the solution to the survivable IP-MPLS routing problem in each network layer separately certainly leads to suboptimal solutions



 the use the metaheuristic approach based on genetic programming to improve the quality of results by solving the problem iteratively

Genetic Approach

- initial population of |CH| chromosomes
- obtaining new (|CRS| and |MUT|) chromosomes in a crossover and mutation operations
- crossover: two chromosomes, randomly chosen from the current population, are used to produce a new pair of chromosomes
- mutation: makes changes within an individual chromosome, randomly chosen from the population chromosomes
- selection of best |CH| chromosomes out of |CH|
 + |CRS| + |MUT| as a next population



Genetic Approach

• **chromosome**: formed by a matrix Ξ of costs ξ_h of arcs $a_h = (i, j)$ used when finding the working and backup LSPs

The quality of a chromosome was measured in terms of the total link capacity utilization ratio by finding the solution to the respective IP-MPLS/WDM survivable routing problem

• initial population of |CH| chromosomes was formed by |CH| matrices Ξ of arc costs ξ_h , each matrix obtained by introducing the random modifications to the matrix Ξ of the reference costs ξ_h of WDM arcs a_h

Genetic Approach

- mutation: a randomly chosen gene ge₁ = (i, j) of a given randomly chosen chromosome, being the respective cost of a given arc a_h = (i, j), was set a random value from the set (0, ξ^{MAX}), where ξ^{MAX} was the length of the longest arc in the WDM layer
- crossover was performed on a pair of randomly chosen chromosomes *ch_A* and *ch_B* assumed the exchange of parts of the symmetric matrix *Ξ* of arc costs



- modeling was performed for the U.S. Long-Distance Network, and European COST 239 Network, NSF Network and Italian Network
- simulations were to measure:
 - the link capacity utilization ratio
 - the number of broken connections restored at the IP and WDM layers
 - the values of connection restoration time



U.S. Long-Distance Network



European COST 239 Network

- modeling was performed for the U.S. Long-Distance Network, and European COST 239 Network, NSF Network and Italian Network
- simulations were to measure:
 - the link capacity utilization ratio
 - the number of broken connections restored at the IP and WDM layers
 - the values of connection restoration time



- all the WDM layer links were assumed to have 32 channels
- channel capacity unit was considered to be the same for all the network links
- optical nodes were assumed to have a full wavelength conversion capability
- the IP link propagation delay to be equal to the aggregate delay of the respective WDM lightpath realizing this IP link
- the size of a demand set consisting od 25% and 100% of randomly chosen network node pairs

- demands from M = 5 service classes with protection against a single node failure,
- the demanded capacity equal to 1/8 of the WDM channel capacity,
- provisioning 100% of the requested bandwidth after a network element failure,
- a demand to assure unsplittable flows in both IP and WDM layer,
- the distance metrics
- Using the Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm to find the the unprotected lightpaths for the backup LSP links
- The Bhandari's algorithm of finding k-node disjoint paths (here k = 2) in all other cases
- the three-way handshake protocol of service restoration in WDM layer (the exchange of LINK FAIL, SETUP and CONFIRM messages)

number of generated populations set to *ic* = 1000

IP-MPLS survivable routing

WDM survivable routing

treat the IP-MPLS survivable routing solutions as demands for WDM survivable routing

- the size each population equal to |CH| = 20 chromosomes
- number of chromosomes achieved during the crossover and mutation operations in each iteration:

|CRS| = 10 and |MUT| = 10, accordingly

- percentage of chromosome genes changed during the mutation operation: 10%
- type of mutation: random value insertion

IP-MPLS Layer

AVERAGE LENGTH OF LSPs



IP-MPLS Layer

AVERAGE NUMBER OF LSP LINKS



WDM Layer

AVERAGE LENGTH OF A LIGHTPATH



WDM Layer

AVERAGE NUMBER OF LIGHTPATH LINKS



TOTAL NUMBER OF RESTORED CONNECTIONS



VALUES OF CONNECTION RESTORATION TIME



AGGREGATE VALUES OF CONNECTION RESTORATION TIME



RATIO OF SOLUTION QUALITY IMPROVEMENT



Concluding Remarks

- we introduced the novel class-based algorithm of survivable routing in IP-MPLS/WDM networks providing differentiated levels of service survivability
- this differentiation was defined in terms of the values of service recovery time and the frequency of performing the time-consuming recovery actions in the IP-MPLS layer
- the original problem of survivable routing in IP-MPLS/WDM network was divided into two subproblems, one for each network layer
- the metaheuristic approach based on genetic programming was proposed to improve the quality of results by solving the problem iteratively
- the advantage of up to 22.55% was achieved, compared to the case of solving the two subproblems in each network layer exactly once
